

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

[31	RIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	07	7/830,382	02/03/92	REAVER	R	33116	
					EXAMINER		
	on.	OTT N VEL	150		ROWAN,K		
	ΚE	SCOTT W. KELLEY KELLY, BAUERSFELD & LOWRY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	6320 CANOGA AVE., STE. WOODLAND HILLS, CA 91			1650 367	3205	3	
					DATE MAILED:	04/21/92	
This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS							
† T	his a	pplication has been	examined [Responsive to communication filed on	C	This action is made final.	
shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s),days from the date of this letter.							
ailur	e to	respond within the p	eriod for response w	ill cause the application to become abandoned	I. 35 U.S.C. 13	3	
art I				RE PART OF THIS ACTION:			
1. 3.		Motice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. Notice of Informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152.					
5.		Information on Hov	v to Effect Drawing C	Changes, PTO-1474. 6		, 	
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION							
1.	X	Claims		1-20		are pending in the application.	
	1	Of the above	a claime			withdrawn from consideration.	
			, oidinis		are		
2.	∠ ∠	Claims		. 18-20		have been cancelled.	
3.	P	Claims		1 A 1 1 C		_ are allowed.	
4.	×	$\frac{1}{1}$ Claims $\frac{1-4}{1-4}$				are rejected.	
5.	×	Claims		5, 16, 17	···	_ are objected to.	
6.		Claims		are	subject to restricti	on or election requirement.	
7.	K	This application has	s been filed with info	rmal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are a	acceptable for exa	mination purposes.	
8.		•		se to this Office action.	•		
9.		are acceptable	ibstitute drawings ha e. 🔲 not acceptable	ive been received on e (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing,		F.R. 1.84 these drawings	
10.	Ö			neet(s) of drawings, filed onninet(s) of drawings, filed on	_ has (have) been	approved by the	
11.		The proposed draw	ing correction, filed	on, has been 🔲 appro	ved. disappro	oved (see explanation).	
12.		Acknowledgment is	made of the claim for	or priority under U.S.C. 119. The certifled copy	has D been rec	eived not been received	
				al no; filed on _			
13.				condition for allowance except for formal matte parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	rs, prosecution as	to the merits is closed in	
14.		Other					

EXAMINER'S ACTION

PTOL-326 (Rev. 9-89)

Serial No. 07/830,382

Art Unit 3205

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

- A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Liebling.

The patent to Liebling shows all the elements recited. Note Liebling discloses a porous cover in column 3, lines 13-14. It appears Liebling is capable of functioning as a hand held fly swatter. Note Liebling shows rear bumber 14 affixed to handle 7 (by way of brace 15) to limit movement by meeting loop 8 as shown in Figures 2 and 3. Note Liebling shows rearwardly extending projection 12. Claim 10 for example does not state what "rearwardly extending" is in relation to.

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102

constitution in the second section and

Serial No. 07/830,382

Art Unit 3205

of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Liebling.

The patent to Liebling shows a hand held loseable fish net which is capable of being used as a fly swatter having an elongate handle, a rigid housing 2, 12 and a track 11. Liebling shows a round wire handle but it would have obvious to employ a rectangular cross sectional handle since no showing of criticality was made and the function is the same.

- 6. Claims 5, 16, 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 18-20 are allowable.
- 8. The patents to Pierce, Malacheski, Little, Steves, McDermott, Hanlon, Chapman, Edge, G.B. 908,392, French 533,116, and DT 3926573 show the state of the art.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Kurt Rowan at telephone number (703) 308-2321.

K. Rowan/eb April 06, 1992

